Mental Health Services Act-Community Services and Supports Planning Process Feedback

"What We Heard You Say..."

April 5 and 6, 2005

MHSA General Stakeholder Meetings

MHSA Stakeholder Process

- DMH has received input from a variety of sources
 - General Stakeholder meeting
 - Workgroups
 - Letters/Position Papers
 - Meetings/presentations to various groups
 - E-mails to MHSA@dmh.ca.gov
 - Phone calls to 1-800-972-6472

Presentation

- What We've Heard
 - Brief summary of the major themes
 - Suggestions for change
 - Detailed summaries of comments from stakeholder meetings and workgroups are on the web at MHSA@dmh.ca.gov
- DMH Preliminary Proposed Changes
 - To the Community Services and Supports
 Program and Expenditure Plan requirements

Overall

- Wide variety of opinions, ranging from
 - Draft requirements substantially reflect and promote system transformation and strike the right balance between community flexibility and state direction
 - Consistent with vision/values of MHSA
- To
 - Disagreement with the prescriptive level of the document and the priorities established.

Theme: Embedding Cultural Competence

- Suggestions:
 - Include ethnicity and gender in more of the required data
 - There needs to be destigmatization for all populations
 - Evidence Based Practices do not include cultural competence issues; more research is needed
 - Increase emphasis on reduction of ethnic disparities in public mental health services

- Include native tribes in county planning
- Culture and lifestyle must be included in all discussions
- Collaborate with community leaders, churches, faith-based organizations and community health clinics and other primary care providers
- To improve staffing, provide higher pay for bilingual or multilingual staff who must be certified

Theme: Children, Youth and Their Families

- CSOC and wraparound are MHSA basic concepts, need more emphasis
- Homelessness should be included as focal population
- The values and goals described in the CSOC framework are not adequately emphasized
- Current language reads as an "adult" document rather than reflecting the language of children, older adult or transition age youth

- Current resilience definition needs to be changed to be more supportive of the positive role of parents
- SOC should be a model for enrolled families
- "Recovery" is adult language;
 "Full inclusion" is more pertinent for children who do not recover in the same way

Theme: Increased Focus on Peer Support and Family Education Services

- Provide models or templates for self-help groups
- It seems like peer programs are in the margins, not in the center
- Do not forget current problems and current clients as we create new systems

- Transportation is a huge issue
- Clients in self-help groups do not want to report to the county; They may not trust the county
- Provide peer support for those with dual disabilities

Theme: Enrollment

- Need to change the language to membership or participant
- Maintain balance of focus on services and "slots" for enrolled members and increasing variety and amount of MHSA services for others in need
- Change requirements to allow strategies selected by local planning process.

- Need to maintain the requirement to be consistent with the MHSA
- "No substitute for enrollment for evaluation purposes"
- The concept of "whatever it takes" has more to do with the underlying concept rather than enrollment

Theme: Small Counties

- Agree with need for flexibility in requirements for small counties, recognizing resource restrictions
- Small counties need more money and staff because of geographic distances and small pockets of population

- Encourage cross-county and cross-agency collaboration
- Provide assistance to help use our funds locally to help with housing. Counties need flexibility for setting people up in apartments

Theme: Involuntary Treatment

- Eliminate the option to fund an expansion of involuntary treatment. Other funds can be used for that. This is contrary to the intent of the MHSA. (Comments included:
 - Some involuntary care is essential.
 - The MHSA was to focus on expansion of voluntary care.)

- The goal to reduce involuntary services should be retained.
- Requirements should balance the needs of the caregivers with the alternatives offered to the person diagnosed with SED or SMI in a time of crisis

Theme: Outcomes and Performance Measures

- Suggestions
 - Need to add focus on individual needs and outcomes
 - Ensure that there are outcomes from the beginning—critical for accountability.
 - Reduce the requirements for documenting outcomes—the new paperwork will take away from service provision
 - Integrate outcomes more throughout the document

- Use independent audits versus specific measures for outcomes
- Focus on outcomes rather than programs:
 - Safe living environment
 - Supportive relationships
 - Meaningful way to use one's time

Theme: Short-Term Strategies

- Suggestions
 - Expand training
 - Need training for transformation: tools and technical assistance
 - Statewide coordination
 - Focus on education to family and clients is fundamental

- Support for telemedicine and Network of Care
- Utilize the statewide suicide prevention plan and fund the start-up

Theme: Distribution of Funding

- Provide more clarity about proportion for planning estimates and set-aside
- Ensure county prudent reserves, these eliminate the need for a state setaside

- Basic factors in planning estimate seem reasonable
 - Difficult to know impact of those factors when relative weighting and source of data are unknown

Theme: Funding limitations

- Maintain requirement that funding should not be allowed prior to approval of plan to ensure transformation for all populations served
- Allow use of funds prior to approval of plan so expanded services can begin quicker

- Stakeholder process should be used for non-supplant/ maintenance of effort requirements
- Since the non-supplant/ maintenance of effort requirements are technical legal interpretations, state should issue policy as final.

Theme: Overall requirements

- Need to streamline overall requirements, current draft plan requirements are overwhelming
- Reduce requirements for planning description

- Budget formats are too complex and inconsistent with current systems
- Submit workforce analysis separately
- Provide examples

Next Steps on CSS Draft Plan Requirements

- Next, we are going to review the preliminary changes proposed by DMH in response to comments/concerns
 - Changes not proposed in all areas of concern
- Additional stakeholder feedback by April 11
- Final CSS plan requirements released May 15, 2005
 - Including financing

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Embedded Cultural Competence

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Revise staffing forms to require more data on ethnicity and gender
 - Require periodic reporting on improvements in access for ethnic populations
 - Clarify that outreach in stakeholder process needs to include Native Americans

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Children, Youth and Their Families

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Change language in requirements to make more consistent with children/youth services
 - Reaffirm department's commitment to children's system of care principles and outcomes
 - Emphasize MHSA requirements for child/youth services, including wraparound

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Increased Focus on Peer Support and Family Education Services

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Require expansion of peer support and family education services to be a component of the CSS three-year plan
 - As part of the Education and Training component, propose that one of the initial priorities be focus on increased consumer/family employment

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Enrollment

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Revise the language to clarify that the strategy is for counties to begin to move toward full service commitment to the clients and families
 - Counties will be requested to identify their priority focal populations and how many clients they can commit to serve in the initial plan

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Small County

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Considering changes to decrease administrative burden of plan and implementation for small counties, while maintaining critical elements for transformation
 - Including small county minimum in proposed distribution formula

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Outcomes and Performance Measures

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Scheduling three stakeholder workgroups to begin May 4, 2005 to get input on performance measures
 - Add focus on impact of untreated mental illness on individuals and include individual measures in performance measurement
 - Establishing interim progress reports to ensure that counties implement their plans

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Short Term Strategies

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Offered funding for collaborative training
 - Client Network, NAMI-CA, UACC, and MHA
 - Continuing to evaluate potential implementation of Network of Care and Telemedicine
 - Working on statewide suicide prevention plan

Preliminary Changes Proposed by DMH: Overall Requirements

- What we are doing with your feedback
 - Review plan requirements for opportunities to streamline while maintaining commitment to promoting transformation
 - Allow summaries of planning process for those with fully approved planning funding requests
 - Require completion of staffing assessment as part of Education and Training component rather than as CSS plan requirement
 - Continuing review of other strategies

Appreciation

- Stakeholder input has been thoughtful
- The quality of the final document will be substantially improved as a direct result of the comments received
- DMH appreciates the efforts of all who've assisted us in this process